

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/994,761	11/28/2001	Hiroaki Sugiura	1190-0532P			
2292 75	90 09/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	ART KOLASCH & BII	EBRAHIMI DEH	EBRAHIMI DEHKORDY, SAEID			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			2625			
			DATE MAILED: 00/22/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary									
		09/994,761		SUGIURA ET AL.					
	,		Examiner]	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication ann	Saeid Ebrahimi-dehKordy		2626	droce			
Period fo	or Reply	icauon app	ears on the cover sheet wi	iui uie cor	respondence ad	iuress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 junication. atutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 16(a). In no event, however, may a re- rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely ITHS from the BANDONED	/ filed mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	^			
Status						•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>06 Ju</i>	lv 2006						
			action is non-final.						
′=		·		ers nrose	ecution as to the	a marite ie			
٠/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disnositi	ion of Claims			,					
_									
	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/ar	alaction requirement						
ا_ا(0	Claim(s) are subject to restric	lion and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	•						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b) objected to I	by the Ex	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 3	7 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correcti	on is required if the drawing((s) is objec	ted to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	d Office A	ction or form PT	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d	d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents	have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents	have been received in Ap	pplication	No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priori	ty documents have been	received	in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list o	of the certified copies not	received.					
• • •									
Attachmen	• •		,, , , , , , , , , ,						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	LO-648)	4) Interview S Paper No(s	Summary (Pi s)/Mail Date.					
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disdosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	. 5 540)	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	nformal Pate					
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date								

Application/Control Number: 09/994,761

Art Unit: 2626

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,980,325. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitation of the application are conceptually the same and not distinct from the claim 1 of the said patent. Limitation receiving from the provider over the communication channel original image, and receiving from said provider over the communication channel the along with the original image the tag data, and converting through the output device, and converting image data into a visually-perceptible analog thereof are note distinct from the limitations the claim 1 of the patent 6,980,325.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,980,325. Claim 5 limitations are

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/994,761

Art Unit: 2626

conceptually are not distinct from the claim 10 of the patent 6,980,325, limitations such as receiving from the provider original image, monitoring the presence of the tag data, presuming if no tag data is received, converting the original data to the into second color space, converting converted image into a visually-perceptible analog thereof.

- 4. Claim 13 of the present application is conceptually same as claim 1 of the said US patent.
- 5. Claim 15 of the present application is conceptually the same as claim 10 of the said US patent.

Contact Information

➤ Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy* whose telephone number is (571) 272-7462.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams, can be reached at (571) 272-7471.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for *formal* communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 306-5406 (for *informal* or *draft* communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2626

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Knox building on 501 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Saeid Ebrahimi-Dehkordy Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2626 September 6, 2006

> KING Y. POON PRIMARY EXAMINER